## Report Card Updates...Now What?

OAGC Coordinator
Division

Gifted Indicator Updates

For the 2021-22 school year
(and beyond)

## Gifted Indicator Changes

## Changes

$\diamond$ Moving to Gap Closing section on LRC
$\diamond$ Gifted PI aligns to new rating system

## Staying the same

$\diamond$ All three components remain
$\diamond$ Each component is 5 points (or $20 \%$ total) within the Gap Closing points

## Gifted Performance Index

Will use the same calculation rules as the regular Performance Index Score, using a new 'max score' approach.

The thresholds will increase over three years:
In 2021-2022, earn at least 95\% of possibleindex points
B In 2022-2023, earn at least 96.5\% of possible Index points
C In 2023-2024, earn at least 97.5\% of possible Index points

## Gifted Indicator Changes

## Changes

$\diamond$ Moving to Gap Closing section on LRC
$\diamond$ Gifted PI aligns to new rating
system
$\diamond$ Updated Gifted Input section
$\diamond$ Points adjusted to enrollment
$\diamond$ Use of Representation Index
$\diamond$ Point matrix increased to 140 points (if all represented)
$\diamond$ Grade bands changed to 4 (from 3)

## Staying the same

$\diamond$ All three components remain
$\diamond$ Each component is 5 points (or 20\% total) within the Gap Closing points
$\diamond$ Gifted Achievement (growth)

## Identification and Service Point Matrix

Tistrict level points use $K-2,3-6,7-8$ and $9-12$ as the grade bands for Superior Cognitive and Specific Academic identification and services; and K - 12 as the grade band for Creativity, Visual or Performing Arts identification and services.

- School level points use the K - 12 grade band for both categories of identification and services.
- Use only those student subgroups that are underrepresented in the identified gifted population for the underrepresented minoritv cateaory.
- Points for the underrepresented and economically disadvantaged categories will

To Meet:
21-22-60\%
of points
$22-23-70 \%$
of points
$23-24+-80 \%$ of points only be added to a school or districts' total possible points if they in fact have onrolled students in the identified subgroups.

# District Scoring: Identification Superior Cognitive and Specific Academic Ability 

| K-2 Grade Band <br> Superior Cognitive <br> and Specific <br> Academic |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Percent <br> Identified | Points |
| $0 \%$ | 0 Points |
| $0.1 \%$ | 1 Points |
| $1.0 \%$ | 5 Points |
| $2.0 \%$ | 9 Points |
| $5.0 \%$ | 12 Points |
| $10.0 \%$ | 15 Points |


| 3-6 Grade Band <br> Superior Cognitive <br> and Specific <br> Academic |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Percent <br> Identified | Points |
| $0 \%$ | 0 Points |
| $0.1 \%$ | 1 Points |
| $3.0 \%$ | 2 Points |
| $5.0 \%$ | 3 Points |
| $10.0 \%$ | 4 Points |
| $15.0 \%$ | 5 Points |


| 7-8 Grade Band <br> Superior Cognitive <br> and Specific <br> Academic |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Percent <br> Identified | Points |
| $0 \%$ | 0 Points |
| $0.1 \%$ | 1 Points |
| $3.0 \%$ | 2 Points |
| $5.0 \%$ | 3 Points |
| $10.0 \%$ | 4 Points |
| $15.0 \%$ | 5 Points |

9-12 Grade Band Superior Cognitive and Specific Academic

| Percent <br> Identified | Points |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0 \%$ | 0 Points |
| $0.1 \%$ | 1 Points |
| $3.0 \%$ | 2 Points |
| $5.0 \%$ | 3 Points |
| $10.0 \%$ | 4 Points |
| $15.0 \%$ | 5 Points |

# District Scoring: Service Superior Cognitive and Specific Academic Ability 

| K-2 Grade Band <br> Superior Cognitive <br> and Specific <br> Academic |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Percent <br> Served | Points |
| $0 \%$ | 0 Points |
| $1.0 \%$ | 2 Points |
| $10.0 \%$ | 4 Points |
| $40.0 \%$ | 6 Points |
| $60.0 \%$ | 8 Points |
| $80.0 \%$ | 10 Points |


| 3-6 Grade Band <br> Superior Cognitive <br> and Specific <br> Academic |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Percent <br> Served | Points |
| $0 \%$ | 0 Points |
| $1.0 \%$ | 2 Points |
| $20.0 \%$ | 4 Points |
| $40.0 \%$ | 6 Points |
| $60.0 \%$ | 8 Points |
| $80.0 \%$ | 10 Points |


| 7-8 Grade Band Superior Cognitive and Specific Academic |  | 9-12 Grade Band Superior Cognitive and Specific Academic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percent Served | Points | Percent Served | Points |
| 0\% | 0 Points | 0\% | 0 Points |
| 1.0\% | 2 Points | 1.0\% | 2 Points |
| 20.0\% | 4 Points | 20.0\% | 4 Points |
| 40.0\% | 6 Points | 40.0\% | 6 Points |
| 60.0\% | 8 Points | 60.0\% | 8 Points |
| 80.0\% | 10 Points | 80.0\% | 10 Points |

District Scoring: Creative Thinking and Visual or Performing Arts

| K-12 Grade Band <br> Creative Thinking and Visual or Performing <br> Arts |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Percent Identified | Points |
| $0 \%$ | 0 Points |
| $0.1 \%$ | 1 Points |
| $1.0 \%$ | 2 Points |
| $2.0 \%$ | 3 Points |
| $5.0 \%$ | 4 Points |
| $10.0 \%$ | 5 Points |


| K-12 Grade Band |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| Creative Thinking and Visual or Performing Arts |  |
| Percent Served | Points |
| $0 \%$ | 0 Points |
| $1.0 \%$ | 1 Points |
| $10.0 \%$ | 2 Points |
| $40.0 \%$ | 3 Points |
| $60.0 \%$ | 4 Points |
| $80.0 \%$ | 5 Points |

## District Scoring: Representation Identification and Service

| Identification: <br> Economic <br> Disadvantage |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rep <br> Index | Points |
| 0.0 | 0 Points |
| 0.40 | 4 Points |
| 0.50 | 8 Points |
| 0.60 | 12 Points |
| 0.70 | 16 Points |
| 0.80 | 20 Points |


| Service: <br> Economic <br> Disadvantage |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rep <br> Index | Points |
| 0.0 | 0 Points |
| 0.40 | 2 Points |
| 0.50 | 4 Points |
| 0.60 | 6 Points |
| 0.70 | 8 Points |
| 0.80 | 10 Points |


| Identification: <br> Underrepresented <br> Minority |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rep <br> Index | Points |
| 0.0 | 0 Points |
| 0.40 | 4 Points |
| 0.50 | 8 Points |
| 0.60 | 12 Points |
| 0.70 | 16 Points |
| 0.80 | 20 Points |

Service:
Underrepresented
Minority

| Rep <br> Index | Points |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0 Points |
| 0.40 | 2 Points |
| 0.50 | 4 Points |
| 0.60 | 6 Points |
| 0.70 | 8 Points |
| 0.80 | 10 Points |

## Representation Index Example

## STEP 1

## STEP 2

STEP 3

Of the 1,000
students enrolled, 200 students are identified as economically disadvantaged which equals 20\% of the total enrollment.

RCS has identified 300 students as gifted. Of those
300 students, 25 students are identified as econ. disadvantaged which is $8 \%$ of the gifted students.

## Representation Index Example

## STEP 4

## Identification: Economic Disadvantage

8\% representation amongst gifted students /

20\% representation amongst total enrollment =
0.4


## Now What?

For 2021-22 school year (\& beyond)

## Taking Steps Forward

$\diamond$ SDC data vs Report Card Face data
$\diamond$ How does your gifted data match district data?
$\diamond$ What areas indicate a gifted specific focus? How can you support district initiatives? How can you be a leader in district initiatives for all students?

## Taking Steps Forward

$\diamond$ What action steps follow from this PI data?
$\diamond$ How is the data supporting our district acceleration policy?
$\diamond$ What is my PI score telling me about my gifted service? i.e. Classroom clustering vs GIS services vs acceleration, etc.
$\diamond$ Who are the students that we need to support the most?
$\diamond$ Who are your "bubble students" (both nearly there and barely there)?

Pick a specific focus that is manageable for 2022-23

## Taking Steps Forward

$\diamond$ What action steps follow from this VA data?
$\diamond$ How can we better support our teachers as they design more robust units within the grade level standards?
$\diamond$ Who are your "bubble students" both nearly there and barely there? Are they following a trend? How can you intervene?
$\diamond$ Which of students are "hiding"? i.e. Which students are trending down but still above average growth?
Pick a specific focus that is manageable for 2022-23

## Taking Steps Forward

$\diamond$ What action steps follow from this Identification and Service data?
$\diamond$ How do the new grade level divisions impact your service representation?
$\diamond$ How does your service representation match your performance outputs?
$\diamond$ How are the district's identification practices impacting student groups?
$\diamond$ What service needs does the data point to?
Pick a specific focus that is manageable for 2022-23

## Example

## District Scoring: Representation Identification and Service

| Identification: <br> Economic <br> Disadvantage |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rep <br> Index <br> 0.0 | Points |
| 0.40 | 4 Points |
| 0.50 | 8 Points |
| 0.60 | 12 Points |
| 0.70 | 16 Points |
| 0.80 | 20 Points |


| Service: <br> Economic <br> Disadvantage |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rep <br> Index <br> 0.0 | Points |
| 0.40 | 2 Points |
| 0.50 | 4 Points |
| 0.60 | 6 Points |
| 0.70 | 8 Points |
| 0.80 | 10 Points |


| Identification: <br> Underrepresented <br> Minority |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Rep <br> Index <br> 0.0 | Points |
| 0.40 | 0 Points |
| 0.50 | 8 Points |
| 0.60 | 12 Points |
| 0.70 | 16 Points |
| 0.80 | 20 Points |

Service:
Underrepresented
Minority

| Rep <br> Index | Points |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.0 | 0 Points |
| 0.40 | 2 Points |
| 0.50 | 4 Points |
| 0.60 | 6 Points |
| 0.70 | 8 Points |
| 0.80 | 10 Points |

## Still have questions

$\diamond$ Monday
$\diamond$ Session 3 - Naglieri OR Pereira
$\diamond$ Session 4 - Coordinator
Division, Equity
$\diamond$ Tuesday
$\diamond$ Session 2 - ODE EMIS
$\diamond$ Session 3 - Coordinator
Division, Maximizing Student Growth Data

